Maximal subgroups of free idempotent generated semigroups

York Semigroup 28th January 2015

Victoria Gould University of York

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Or.... Groups and Idempotents

York Semigroup 28th January 2015

Victoria Gould University of York

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Throughout, S is a **semigroup** i.e. a set with an associative binary operation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

If $\exists 1 \in S$ with 1a = a = a1 for all $a \in S$, then S is a **monoid**.

An **idempotent** is an element $e \in S$ such that $e = e^2$.

Let $E = \{e \in S : e \text{ is idempotent}\} = E(S)$.

If S is a monoid, then clearly $1 \in E$.

Throughout, S is a **semigroup** i.e. a set with an associative binary operation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

If $\exists 1 \in S$ with 1a = a = a1 for all $a \in S$, then S is a **monoid**.

An **idempotent** is an element $e \in S$ such that $e = e^2$.

Let $E = \{e \in S : e \text{ is idempotent}\} = E(S)$.

If S is a monoid, then clearly $1 \in E$.

Groups

- Multiplicative semigroups of rings, e.g. $M_n(\mathbb{R})$
- Let X be a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X \} \\ \mathcal{PT}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X \} \\ \mathcal{S}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X, \alpha \text{ bijective} \} \\ \mathcal{I}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X, \alpha \text{ one-one} \} \end{aligned}$$

are monoids under \circ , the **full transformation monoid**, the **partial transformation monoid**, the **symmetric group**, and the **symmetric inverse monoid** on *X*, respectively.

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

• If $X = \underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we usually write \mathcal{T}_n for \mathcal{T}_X , etc.

Groups

- Multiplicative semigroups of rings, e.g. $M_n(\mathbb{R})$
- Let X be a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X \} \\ \mathcal{PT}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X \} \\ \mathcal{S}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X, \alpha \text{ bijective} \} \\ \mathcal{I}_X &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X, \alpha \text{ one-one} \} \end{aligned}$$

are monoids under \circ , the **full transformation monoid**, the **partial transformation monoid**, the **symmetric group**, and the **symmetric inverse monoid** on *X*, respectively.

• If $X = \underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we usually write \mathcal{T}_n for \mathcal{T}_X , etc.

- Groups
- Multiplicative semigroups of rings, e.g. $M_n(\mathbb{R})$
- Let X be a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X \} \\ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X \} \\ \mathcal{S}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X, \alpha \text{ bijective} \} \\ \mathcal{I}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X, \alpha \text{ one-one} \} \end{aligned}$$

are monoids under \circ , the **full transformation monoid**, the **partial transformation monoid**, the **symmetric group**, and the **symmetric inverse monoid** on *X*, respectively.

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

• If $X = \underline{n} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then we usually write \mathcal{T}_n for \mathcal{T}_X , etc.

- Groups
- Multiplicative semigroups of rings, e.g. $M_n(\mathbb{R})$
- Let X be a set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X \} \\ \mathcal{PT}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X \} \\ \mathcal{S}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : X \to X, \alpha \text{ bijective} \} \\ \mathcal{I}_{X} &:= \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : Y \to Z, Y, Z \subseteq X, \alpha \text{ one-one} \} \end{aligned}$$

are monoids under \circ , the **full transformation monoid**, the **partial transformation monoid**, the **symmetric group**, and the **symmetric inverse monoid** on *X*, respectively.

• If $X = \underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we usually write \mathcal{T}_n for \mathcal{T}_X , etc.

• Let X be a set and let

$$X^+ = \{x_1 \dots x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

with

$$(x_1\ldots x_n)(y_1\ldots y_m)=x_1\ldots x_ny_1\ldots y_m.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Then X^+ is the **free semigroup** on X.

Let A be set with structure e.g. A is partially ordered, or A is an **algebra** (in the sense of universal algebra).

Let

End
$$A = \{ \alpha \mid \alpha : A \to A \text{ preserves the structure} \}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Then End A is a monoid, the **endomorphism monoid** of A.

A **band** is a semigroup S such that S = E(S).

Let I, Λ be non-empty sets, let $T = I \times \Lambda$ and define

 $(i,\lambda)(k,\mu) = (i,\mu).$

Then T is a band, the **rectangular band** on $I \times \Lambda$.

(i, λ)		$(i,\lambda)(k,\mu) = (i,\mu)$	
		(k, μ)	

T is only a monoid if it is trivial.

A **band** is a semigroup S such that S = E(S).

Let I, Λ be non-empty sets, let $T = I \times \Lambda$ and define

 $(i,\lambda)(k,\mu) = (i,\mu).$

Then T is a band, the **rectangular band** on $I \times \Lambda$.

(i, λ)		$(i,\lambda)(k,\mu) = (i,\mu)$	
		(k, μ)	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

T is only a monoid if it is trivial.

For a subset A of a semigroup S we put

$$\langle A \rangle = \{a_1 \dots a_n : n \ge 1, a_i \in A\};$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

 $\langle A \rangle$ is the subsemigroup **generated by** A.

Clearly X^+ is generated by X.

S is **idempotent generated** if $S = \langle E \rangle$.

For a subset A of a semigroup S we put

$$\langle A \rangle = \{a_1 \dots a_n : n \ge 1, a_i \in A\};$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

 $\langle A \rangle$ is the subsemigroup **generated by** A.

Clearly X^+ is generated by X.

S is **idempotent generated** if $S = \langle E \rangle$.

Some familiar semigroups are idempotent generated:

Example 1 For $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ let rank $\alpha = |\operatorname{Im} \alpha|$. Clearly $S_n = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n : \operatorname{rank} \alpha = n \}.$

Let

$$S(\mathcal{T}_n) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \}.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

Then $S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is idempotent generated (**Howie**, **1966**).

 $S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is called the **singular part** of \mathcal{T}_n and often denoted Sing_n.

Some familiar semigroups are idempotent generated:

Example 1 For $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$ let rank $\alpha = |\operatorname{Im} \alpha|$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n : \operatorname{rank} \alpha = n \}.$$

Let

$$S(\mathcal{T}_n) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \}.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

Then $S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is idempotent generated (**Howie, 1966**).

 $S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is called the **singular part** of \mathcal{T}_n and often denoted Sing_n.

Example 2 Let D be a division ring. Then

$$S(M_n(D)) = \{A \in M_n(D) : \operatorname{rank} A < n\}$$

is idempotent generated (J.A. Erdös, 1967, Dawlings, 1979, Laffey, 1973).

Example 3 Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n. Then

 $S(\operatorname{End} A) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End}(A) : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \}$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

is idempotent generated (Fountain and Lewin, 1992.)

Example 2 Let D be a division ring. Then

$$S(M_n(D)) = \{A \in M_n(D) : \operatorname{rank} A < n\}$$

is idempotent generated (J.A. Erdös, 1967, Dawlings, 1979, Laffey, 1973).

Example 3 Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n. Then

$$S(\operatorname{End} A) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End}(A) : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

is idempotent generated (Fountain and Lewin, 1992.)

Let S be a semigroup. Then

A trick to note For $e, f \in E$, if $eS^1 \subseteq fS^1$, then from e = fu we obtain

fe = ffu = fu = e.

Hence

$$e \mathcal{R} f \Leftrightarrow ef = f \text{ and } fe = e$$

 $e \mathcal{L} f \Leftrightarrow ef = e \text{ and } fe = f$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Let S be a semigroup. Then

A trick to note For $e, f \in E$, if $eS^1 \subseteq fS^1$, then from e = fu we obtain

$$fe = ffu = fu = e.$$

Hence

$$e \mathcal{R} f \Leftrightarrow ef = f \text{ and } fe = e$$

 $e \mathcal{L} f \Leftrightarrow ef = e \text{ and } fe = f.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Let G be a group. How is G related to idempotents?

A group has precisely one idempotent, thus G is idempotent generated if and only if G is trivial.

A **subgroup** of S is a subsemigroup of S that is a group under the restricted product.

Fact The maximal subgroups of S are the \mathcal{H} -classes

 $H_e, e \in E.$

Is it possible that G is a subgroup of S such that

 $G \subseteq \langle E \rangle$?

Yes! Let $|G| = r < \infty$. Pick $\epsilon = \epsilon^2 \in S = S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ with rank $\epsilon = r$; it is known that $H_{\epsilon} \cong S_r$. Then

 $G \leq S_r \cong H_{\epsilon} \subseteq S = \langle E \rangle.$

Let G be a group. How is G related to idempotents?

A group has precisely one idempotent, thus G is idempotent generated if and only if G is trivial.

A **subgroup** of S is a subsemigroup of S that is a group under the restricted product.

Fact The maximal subgroups of S are the \mathcal{H} -classes

 $H_e, e \in E$.

Is it possible that G is a subgroup of S such that

 $G \subseteq \langle E \rangle$?

Yes! Let $|G| = r < \infty$. Pick $\epsilon = \epsilon^2 \in S = S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ with rank $\epsilon = r$; it is known that $H_{\epsilon} \cong S_r$. Then

 $G \leq S_r \cong H_{\epsilon} \subseteq S = \langle E \rangle.$

Let G be a group. How is G related to idempotents?

A group has precisely one idempotent, thus G is idempotent generated if and only if G is trivial.

A **subgroup** of S is a subsemigroup of S that is a group under the restricted product.

Fact The maximal subgroups of *S* are the \mathcal{H} -classes

 $H_e, e \in E$.

Is it possible that G is a subgroup of S such that

 $G \subseteq \langle E \rangle$?

Yes! Let $|G| = r < \infty$. Pick $\epsilon = \epsilon^2 \in S = S(\mathcal{T}_n)$ with rank $\epsilon = r$; it is known that $H_{\epsilon} \cong S_r$. Then

$$G \leq \mathcal{S}_r \cong H_\epsilon \subseteq S = \langle E \rangle.$$

Free G-acts

Let G be a group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The free *G*-act $F_n(G)$ is given by

$$F_n(G) = Gx_1 \cup Gx_2 \cup \ldots \cup Gx_n$$

where

 $g(hx_i) = (gh)x_i \text{ (note we identify } x_i \text{ with } ex_i\text{)}.$ $\in \text{End } F_n(G) \text{ then } (gx_i)\alpha = g(x_i\alpha) \text{ and we can write } \alpha \in \text{End } F_n(G)$ $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ & & \end{pmatrix}.$

be that $F_{n}(G)$ is an **independence algebra**, so

 $S(\operatorname{End} F_n(G)) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End} F_n(G) : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

Free G-acts

Let G be a group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The **free** *G*-**act** $F_n(G)$ is given by

$$F_n(G) = Gx_1 \cup Gx_2 \cup \ldots \cup Gx_n$$

where

$$g(hx_i) = (gh)x_i$$
 (note we identify x_i with ex_i).

If $\alpha \in \text{End} F_n(G)$ then $(gx_i)\alpha = g(x_i\alpha)$ and we can write $\alpha \in \text{End} F_n(G)$ as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ g_1 x_{1\overline{\alpha}} & g_2 x_{2\overline{\alpha}} & \dots & g_n x_{n\overline{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $F_n(G)$ is an **independence algebra**, so

 $S(\operatorname{End} F_n(G)) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End} F_n(G) : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Free G-acts

Let G be a group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The **free** *G*-**act** $F_n(G)$ is given by

$$F_n(G) = Gx_1 \cup Gx_2 \cup \ldots \cup Gx_n$$

where

$$g(hx_i) = (gh)x_i$$
 (note we identify x_i with ex_i).

If $\alpha \in \text{End} F_n(G)$ then $(gx_i)\alpha = g(x_i\alpha)$ and we can write $\alpha \in \text{End} F_n(G)$ as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ g_1 x_{1\overline{\alpha}} & g_2 x_{2\overline{\alpha}} & \dots & g_n x_{n\overline{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $F_n(G)$ is an **independence algebra**, so

$$S(\operatorname{End} F_n(G)) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End} F_n(G) : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

For elements $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{End} F_n(G)$ or $S = S(\operatorname{End} F_n(G))$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha \, \mathcal{R} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Ker} \, \alpha = \mathsf{Ker} \, \beta \\ \alpha \, \mathcal{L} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Im} \, \alpha = \mathsf{Im} \, \beta \\ \alpha \, \mathcal{D} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{rank} \, \alpha = \mathsf{rank} \, \beta. \end{array}$$

Let $D_1 = \{ \alpha \in S : \operatorname{rank} \alpha = 1 \}.$

Fact D_1 is **completely simple.** That is,

$$D_1 = \bigcup_{(i,\lambda)\in I\times\Lambda} H_{i\lambda}$$

and each $H_{i\lambda}$ is a group; moreover,

$$H_{i\lambda}H_{j\mu}\subseteq H_{i\mu}.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

For elements $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{End} F_n(G)$ or $S = S(\operatorname{End} F_n(G))$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha \, \mathcal{R} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Ker} \, \alpha = \mathsf{Ker} \, \beta \\ \alpha \, \mathcal{L} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Im} \, \alpha = \mathsf{Im} \, \beta \\ \alpha \, \mathcal{D} \, \beta & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{rank} \, \alpha = \mathsf{rank} \, \beta. \end{array}$$

Let $D_1 = \{ \alpha \in S : \operatorname{rank} \alpha = 1 \}.$

Fact D_1 is completely simple. That is,

$$D_1 = \bigcup_{(i,\lambda)\in I\times\Lambda} H_{i\lambda}$$

and each $H_{i\lambda}$ is a group; moreover,

$$H_{i\lambda}H_{j\mu}\subseteq H_{i\mu}.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

Free G-acts: rank 1 D-class

 \underline{n} indexes the \mathcal{L} -classes L_k

$$\alpha \in L_k \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \alpha = G x_k.$$

I indexes \mathcal{R} -classes R_i R_1 corresponds to the kernel $\langle (x_1, x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$

Put $H_{i\lambda} = R_i \cap L_{\lambda}$.



It is known that $H_{11} \cong H_{i\lambda} \cong G$, for any i, λ , so again

Free *G*-acts: rank 1 \mathcal{D} -class

 \underline{n} indexes the \mathcal{L} -classes L_k

$$\alpha \in L_k \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \alpha = G x_k.$$

I indexes \mathcal{R} -classes R_i R_1 corresponds to the kernel $\langle (x_1, x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$

Put $H_{i\lambda} = R_i \cap L_{\lambda}$.



 $G \leq \langle E \rangle. \qquad \text{ for a first a group of a g$

It is known that $H_{11} \cong H_{i\lambda} \cong G$, for any i, λ , so again,

Generating H_{11}

If rank $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ g_1 x_i & g_2 x_i & \dots & g_n x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $i \in \underline{n}$.

 $1 \in \underline{n}$ corresponds to i = 1, i.e. Im $\alpha = Gx_1$

 $1 \in I$ corresponds to Ker $\alpha = \langle (x_1, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. i.e. $g_1 = \ldots = g_n$. If $\alpha \in H_{11}$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_1 & gx_1 & \dots & gx_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ so } H_{11} \cong G \\ & = & \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_2 & x_2 & \dots & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & = & e_{11}e_{i2}e_{11} \end{array}$$

for some $i \in I$. Hence $H_{11} = \{e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} : i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $G \cong H_{11} \subseteq \langle E \rangle.$

Generating H_{11}

If rank $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ g_1 x_i & g_2 x_i & \dots & g_n x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $i \in \underline{n}$.

 $1 \in \underline{n}$ corresponds to i = 1, i.e. Im $\alpha = Gx_1$ $1 \in I$ corresponds to Ker $\alpha = \langle (x_1, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. i.e. $g_1 = \ldots = g_n$. If $\alpha \in H_{11}$, then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_1 & gx_1 & \dots & gx_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ so } H_{11} \cong G$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_2 & x_2 & \dots & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= e_{11}e_{i2}e_{11}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

for some $i \in I$. Hence $H_{11} = \{e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} : i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $G \cong H_{11} \subseteq \langle E \rangle.$

Generating H_{11}

If rank $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ g_1 x_i & g_2 x_i & \dots & g_n x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G^n$ and $i \in \underline{n}$.

 $1 \in \underline{n}$ corresponds to i = 1, i.e. Im $\alpha = Gx_1$ $1 \in I$ corresponds to Ker $\alpha = \langle (x_1, x_i) : 2 \leq i \leq n \rangle$. i.e. $g_1 = \ldots = g_n$. If $\alpha \in H_{11}$, then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_1 & gx_1 & \dots & gx_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ so } H_{11} \cong G$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ gx_2 & x_2 & \dots & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e_{11}e_{i2}e_{11}$$

for some $i \in I$. Hence $H_{11} = \{e_{11}e_{i\lambda}e_{11} : i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $G \cong H_{11} \subseteq \langle E \rangle.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ● のへで

Biordered sets

Let E = E(S).

Definition For $e, f \in E$

$$e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f \Leftrightarrow fe = e \text{ and } e \leq_{\mathcal{L}} f \Leftrightarrow ef = e.$$

We have that $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} (\leq_{\mathcal{L}})$ is a preorder with associated equivalence $\mathcal{R} (\mathcal{L})$.

Note If $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f$ then fe = e and

$$(ef)(ef) = e(fe)f = eef = ef,$$

so that $ef \in E$. We say that

ef, fe are basic products if $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f, f \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e, e \leq_{\mathcal{L}} f$ or $f \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ のへで

Biordered sets

Let E = E(S).

Definition For $e, f \in E$

$$e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f \Leftrightarrow fe = e \text{ and } e \leq_{\mathcal{L}} f \Leftrightarrow ef = e.$$

We have that $\leq_{\mathcal{R}} (\leq_{\mathcal{L}})$ is a preorder with associated equivalence $\mathcal{R} (\mathcal{L})$.

Note If $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f$ then fe = e and

$$(ef)(ef) = e(fe)f = eef = ef$$
,

so that $ef \in E$. We say that

ef, *fe* are basic products if $e \leq_{\mathcal{R}} f, f \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e, e \leq_{\mathcal{L}} f$ or $f \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e$.

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> 三三 のへで

Under basic products, E satisfies a number of axioms; if S is regular, an extra axiom holds.

- A biordered set is a partial algebra satisfying these axioms; if the extra one also holds it is a regular biordered set.
- (a) A biordered set is regular if and only if E = E(S) for a regular semigroup *S* Nambooripad (1979).
- The category of inductive groupoids whose set of identities form a regular biordered set is equivalent to the category of regular semigroups Nambooripad (1979).
- If O Any biordered set E is E(S) for some semigroup S **Easdown (1985)**.

- Under basic products, E satisfies a number of axioms; if S is regular, an extra axiom holds.
- A biordered set is a partial algebra satisfying these axioms; if the extra one also holds it is a regular biordered set.
- 3 A biordered set is regular if and only if E = E(S) for a regular semigroup *S* Nambooripad (1979).
- The category of inductive groupoids whose set of identities form a regular biordered set is equivalent to the category of regular semigroups Nambooripad (1979).
- Any biordered set E is E(S) for some semigroup S Easdown (1985).

- Under basic products, E satisfies a number of axioms; if S is regular, an extra axiom holds.
- A biordered set is a partial algebra satisfying these axioms; if the extra one also holds it is a regular biordered set.
- Solution A biordered set is regular if and only if E = E(S) for a regular semigroup S Nambooripad (1979).
- The category of inductive groupoids whose set of identities form a regular biordered set is equivalent to the category of regular semigroups Nambooripad (1979).
- If O Any biordered set E is E(S) for some semigroup S **Easdown (1985)**.

- Under basic products, E satisfies a number of axioms; if S is regular, an extra axiom holds.
- A biordered set is a partial algebra satisfying these axioms; if the extra one also holds it is a regular biordered set.
- Solution A biordered set is regular if and only if E = E(S) for a regular semigroup S Nambooripad (1979).
- The category of inductive groupoids whose set of identities form a regular biordered set is equivalent to the category of regular semigroups Nambooripad (1979).
- **(**) Any biordered set E is E(S) for some semigroup S **Easdown (1985)**.

- Under basic products, E satisfies a number of axioms; if S is regular, an extra axiom holds.
- A biordered set is a partial algebra satisfying these axioms; if the extra one also holds it is a regular biordered set.
- Solution A biordered set is regular if and only if E = E(S) for a regular semigroup S Nambooripad (1979).
- The category of inductive groupoids whose set of identities form a regular biordered set is equivalent to the category of regular semigroups Nambooripad (1979).
- Solution Any biordered set E is E(S) for some semigroup S **Easdown (1985)**.

Let *E* be a biordered set. We can assume E = E(S) for a semigroup *S*. Let

$$\overline{E} = \{\overline{e} : e \in E\}$$

and let

$$\overline{E}^+ = \{\overline{e_1} \dots \overline{e_n} : n \ge 1, e_i \in E\},\$$

the free semigroup on \overline{E} .

Definition

$$\begin{array}{rcl} IG(E) &=& \langle \overline{E} : \overline{ef} = \overline{ef}, ef \text{ is a basic product} \rangle \\ &=& \overline{E}^+ / \langle (\overline{ef}, \overline{ef}) : ef \text{ is a basic product} \rangle. \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Let *E* be a biordered set. We can assume E = E(S) for a semigroup *S*. Let

$$\overline{E} = \{\overline{e} : e \in E\}$$

and let

$$\overline{E}^+ = \{\overline{e_1} \dots \overline{e_n} : n \ge 1, e_i \in E\},\$$

the free semigroup on \overline{E} .

Definition

$$\begin{array}{rcl} IG(E) &=& \langle \overline{E} : \overline{e}\overline{f} = \overline{ef}, ef \text{ is a basic product} \rangle \\ &=& \overline{E}^+ / \langle (\overline{e}\overline{f}, \overline{ef}) : ef \text{ is a basic product} \rangle. \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

- $IG(E) = \langle \overline{E} \rangle.$
- ② If $S = \langle E \rangle$, then $\overline{e} \mapsto e$ is an onto morphism.
- $E(IG(E)) = \overline{E} \cong E$, as a biordered set.
- The above morphism is one-one on the set of *R*-classes and *L*-classes within a regular *D*-class.

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

- $IG(E) = \langle \overline{E} \rangle.$
- **2** If $S = \langle E \rangle$, then $\overline{e} \mapsto e$ is an onto morphism.
- $E(IG(E)) = \overline{E} \cong E$, as a biordered set.
- The above morphism is one-one on the set of *R*-classes and *L*-classes within a regular *D*-class.

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

- $IG(E) = \langle \overline{E} \rangle.$
- **2** If $S = \langle E \rangle$, then $\overline{e} \mapsto e$ is an onto morphism.
- $E(IG(E)) = \overline{E} \cong E$, as a biordered set.
- The above morphism is one-one on the set of *R*-classes and *L*-classes within a regular *D*-class.

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

- $IG(E) = \langle \overline{E} \rangle.$
- **2** If $S = \langle E \rangle$, then $\overline{e} \mapsto e$ is an onto morphism.
- $E(IG(E)) = \overline{E} \cong E$, as a biordered set.
- The above morphism is one-one on the set of *R*-classes and *L*-classes within a regular *D*-class.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- $IG(E) = \langle \overline{E} \rangle.$
- **2** If $S = \langle E \rangle$, then $\overline{e} \mapsto e$ is an onto morphism.
- $E(IG(E)) = \overline{E} \cong E$, as a biordered set.
- The above morphism is one-one on the set of *R*-classes and *L*-classes within a regular *D*-class.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

These groups are homotopy groups of complexes determined by idempotent sequences and **singular squares**.

For $M_n(D)$ the $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ orders are given by subspace inclusion.

Putcha and Renner's theory of algebraic monoids shows that for connected reductive monoids the biorder is intimately related to the Tits building of the group of units.

Since independence algebras are generalizations of vector spaces, there should be a way to see establish geometry there.

One aim is to relate the presentations of the maximal subgroups to the behaviour of the geometry.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

These groups are homotopy groups of complexes determined by idempotent sequences and **singular squares**.

For $M_n(D)$ the $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ orders are given by subspace inclusion.

Putcha and Renner's theory of algebraic monoids shows that for connected reductive monoids the biorder is intimately related to the Tits building of the group of units.

Since independence algebras are generalizations of vector spaces, there should be a way to see establish geometry there.

One aim is to relate the presentations of the maximal subgroups to the behaviour of the geometry.

- All such groups discovered in 1970s, 80s, 90s were free.
- 2002 it was formally conjectured that all such groups were free.
- 3 2009 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin found Z ⊕ Z as a maximal subgroup of some IG(E).

Gray, Ruskuc (2012) show that any group can arise in this way.

- Ruskuc (1999) found a presentation for H_e , where $e \in S$ and S is given by a presentation.
- Gray and Ruskuc (2012) develop this to give presentations for H_e in IG(E).

(ロ) (四) (E) (E) (E) (E)

Siven a group G they then construct a biordered set E such that H_e ≅ G.

Gray, Ruskuc (2012) show that any group can arise in this way.

- Ruskuc (1999) found a presentation for H_e , where $e \in S$ and S is given by a presentation.
- **②** Gray and Ruskuc (2012) develop this to give presentations for $H_{\overline{e}}$ in IG(*E*).

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Siven a group G they then construct a biordered set E such that H_e ≅ G. Gray, Ruskuc (2012) show that any group can arise in this way.

- Ruskuc (1999) found a presentation for H_e , where $e \in S$ and S is given by a presentation.
- Gray and Ruskuc (2012) develop this to give presentations for H_e in IG(E).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Siven a group G they then construct a biordered set E such that $H_{\overline{e}} \cong G$.

- 2010 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = 1, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong D^*$.
- 2012 **Gray, Ruskuc** If $e \in E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and rank $e = r \leq n 2$, then $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.
- 3 2014 **Dolinka, Gray** If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = r < n/3, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong GL(r, D)$.

For all of these cases, the rank n-1 idempotents produce free groups in IG(E).

- 2010 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = 1, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong D^*$.
- 2012 Gray, Ruskuc If $e \in E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and rank $e = r \le n 2$, then $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.
- 3 2014 **Dolinka, Gray** If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = r < n/3, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong GL(r, D)$.

For all of these cases, the rank n-1 idempotents produce free groups in IG(E).

- 2010 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = 1, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong D^*$.
- 2012 Gray, Ruskuc If $e \in E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and rank $e = r \le n 2$, then $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.
- 2014 **Dolinka, Gray** If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = r < n/3, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong GL(r, D)$.

For all of these cases, the rank n-1 idempotents produce free groups in IG(E).

- 2010 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = 1, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong D^*$.
- 2012 Gray, Ruskuc If $e \in E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and rank $e = r \le n 2$, then $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.
- 2014 **Dolinka, Gray** If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = r < n/3, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong GL(r, D)$.

For all of these cases, the rank n-1 idempotents produce free groups in IG(E).

- 2010 Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = 1, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong D^*$.
- 2012 Gray, Ruskuc If $e \in E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and rank $e = r \le n 2$, then $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e \cong S_r$.
- 2014 **Dolinka, Gray** If $A \in E(M_n(D))$ and rank A = r < n/3, then $H_{\overline{A}} \cong H_A \cong GL(r, D)$.

For all of these cases, the rank n-1 idempotents produce free groups in IG(E).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Question Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n and let

 $S = S(End(A)) = \{ \alpha \in End A : rank \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$

If $e \in E(S)$ with rank e = n - 1, then $H_{\overline{e}}$ is free. Is it true that for $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e \le n - 2$,

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_{e}$$
?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What if rank e = 1?

Question Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n and let

$$S = S(End(A)) = \{ \alpha \in End A : rank \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$$

If $e \in E(S)$ with rank e = n - 1, then $H_{\overline{e}}$ is free. Is it true that for $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e \le n - 2$,

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e$$
?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What if rank e = 1?

Question Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n and let

$$S = S(\operatorname{End}(A)) = \{ \alpha \in \operatorname{End} A : \operatorname{rank} \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$$

If $e \in E(S)$ with rank e = n - 1, then $H_{\overline{e}}$ is free. Is it true that for $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e \le n - 2$,

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e$$
?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What if rank e = 1?

Question Let A be an independence algebra with rank A = n and let

$$S = S(End(A)) = \{ \alpha \in End A : rank \alpha < n \} = \langle E \rangle.$$

If $e \in E(S)$ with rank e = n - 1, then $H_{\overline{e}}$ is free. Is it true that for $e \in E(S)$ with rank $e \le n - 2$,

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_{e}$$
?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What if rank e = 1?

An easy way to get any group in an IG(E)G and Dandan Yang

Theorem: G and Dandan Yang Let $A = Gx_1 \cup \ldots Gx_n$ be a free *G*-act of rank $n \ge 3$, and let $e \in \text{End } A$ be a rank 1 idempotent. Then

 $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e.$

Recall $H_e \cong G!$

Corollary Any group occurs as a maximal subgroup of some IG(E).

Note Our proof is **very** easy; we do not need presentations; we use a natural biordered set.

An easy way to get any group in an IG(E)G and Dandan Yang

Theorem: G and Dandan Yang Let $A = Gx_1 \cup \ldots Gx_n$ be a free *G*-act of rank $n \ge 3$, and let $e \in \text{End } A$ be a rank 1 idempotent. Then

 $H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e$.

Recall $H_e \cong G!$

Corollary Any group occurs as a maximal subgroup of some IG(E).

Note Our proof is **very** easy; we do not need presentations; we use a natural biordered set.

Theorem: Dolinka, G, Yang Let $A = Gx_1 \cup \ldots Gx_n$ be a free *G*-act of rank $n \ge 3$, and let $e \in \text{End } A$ be an idempotent with rank $e \le n-2$. Then

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e.$$

Corollary Taking G to be trivial we obtain the Gray/Ruškuc result for T_n .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Corollary Taking n = 1 we obtain any group (again!)

Let A be an independence algebra of rank $n \ge 3$ and $e \in End(A)$ with rank $e \le n-2$.

When do we have

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e$$
?

- True for rank e = 1, A has no constants G and Yang.
 True for A = V₄(D), rank e = 2 Yang et al
- Solution Conjectured not true for $A = V_6(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, rank e = 2 **O'Brien et al**

If we do not have this, what does $H_{\overline{e}}$ look like?

What is the general structure of IG(E)? Is is always weakly abundant? This is certainly true if E is a band **G** and Yang....

Let A be an independence algebra of rank $n \ge 3$ and $e \in End(A)$ with rank $e \le n-2$.

When do we have

$$H_{\overline{e}} \cong H_e$$
?

- **1** True for rank e = 1, A has no constants **G** and **Yang**.
- **2** True for $A = V_4(D)$, rank e = 2 **Yang et al**
- Solution Conjectured not true for $A = V_6(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, rank e = 2 **O'Brien et al**

If we do not have this, what does $H_{\overline{e}}$ look like?

What is the general structure of IG(E)? Is is always weakly abundant? This is certainly true if E is a band **G** and Yang....